

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES/DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Parent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 436
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usptogrov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,209	10/666,209 09/17/2003		Peter B. Evans	23990-08225	8289
758	7590	04/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FENWICE			LO, SUZANNE		
SILICON V 801 CALIF			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041				2128	
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,209	EVANS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Suzanne Lo	2128
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IN LONGER, FROM THE MAILING EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE AVAILABLE UNDER THE MAILING EXTENSIONS OF THE MAILING EXTENSIONS OF THE MAILING EXTENSIONS OF THE MAILING EXTENSIONS OF THE MAILING EXPENSIONS OF THE MAILING O	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be study and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 s  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureat  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) \( \sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa	on/PTO.413)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/666,209

Art Unit: 2128

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14 and 19-20, drawn to the method and computer readable medium for simulating and analyzing an electric power network, classified in class 703, subclass 18.
  - II. Claim 15-18, drawn to the method of assessing impacts and benefits of distributed energy resources to indicate the extent to which resources impact on each other, classified in class 705, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method and computer readable medium for simulating and analyzing the operation of an electric power network involve assessing the condition and performance of the network. The subcombination has separate utility such as evaluating the economic value or environmental impact of improvements made to an energy power network.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Hector J. Ribera on 03/06/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5. An attempt was made to contact Mr. Hector J. Ribera on 04/06/2006 but Mr. Ribera could not be reached.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/666,209 Page 4

Art Unit: 2128

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Lo whose telephone number is (571)272-5876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2297. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzanne Lo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2128

SL 04/06/06

KAMINI SHAP EXAMINE KAMINI PATENT EXAMINE